Draft version July 18, 2014
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 08/22/09

arXiv:1407.4745v1 [astro-ph.SR] 17 Jul 2014

THE LOW-FREQUENCY CHARACTERISTICS OF PSR J0437−4715 OBSERVED WITH THE MURCHISON WIDEFIELD ARRAY

N. D. R. Bhat[1,2], S. M. Ord[1,2], S. E. Tremblay[1,2], S. J. Tingay[1,2], A. A. Deshpande[3], W. van Straten[4,2], S. Oronsaye[1,2], G. Bernardi[5,6,12], J. D. Bowman[7], F. Briggs[8,2], R. J. Cappallo[9], B. E. Corey[9], D. Emrich[1], R. Goeke[11], L. J. Greenhill[12], B. J. Hazelton[13], J. N. Hewitt[11], M. Johnston-Hollitt[14], D. L. Kaplan[15], J. C. Kasper[16,12], E. Kratzenberg[9], C. J. Lonsdale[9], M. J. Lynch[1], S. R. McWhirter[9], D. A. Mitchell[17,2], M. F. Morales[13], E. Morgan[11], D. Oberoi[18], T. Prabu[3], A. E. E. Rogers[9], D. A. Roshi[19], N. Udaya Shankar[3], K. S. Srivani[3], R. Subrahmanyan[3,2], M. Waterson[1,8], R. B. Wayth[1,2], R. L. Webster[20,2], A. R. Whitney[9], A. Williams[1], C. L. Williams[11]

[1]International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research, Curtin University, Bentley, WA 6102, Australia
[2]ARC Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics (CAASTRO)
[3]Raman Research Institute, Bangalore 560080, India
[4]Centre for Astrophysics and Supercomputing, Swinburne University, Hawthorn, Victoria 3122, Australia
[5]Square Kilometre Array South Africa, 3rd Floor, The Park, Park Road, Pinelands, 7405, South Africa
[6]Department of Physics and Electronics, Rhodes University, PO Box 94, Grahamstown, 6140, South Africa
[7]School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA
[8]Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2611, Australia
[9]MIT Haystack Observatory, Westford, MA 01886, USA
[11]Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
[12]Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
[13]Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
[14]School of Chemical & Physical Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington 6140, New Zealand
[15]Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA
[16]Department of Atmospheric, Oceanic and Space Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
[17]CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science, Marsfield, NSW 2122, Australia
[18]National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, Tata Institute for Fundamental Research, Pune 411007, India
[19]National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville and Greenbank, USA
[20]School of Physics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

Draft version July 18, 2014

ABSTRACT

We report on the detection of the millisecond pulsar PSR J0437−4715 with the Murchison Widefield Array (MWA) at a frequency of 192 MHz. Our observations show rapid modulations of pulse intensity in time and frequency that arise from diffractive scintillation effects in the interstellar medium (ISM), as well as prominent drifts of intensity maxima in the time-frequency plane that arise from refractive effects. Our analysis suggests that the scattering screen is located at a distance of ∼80-120 pc from the Sun, in disagreement with a recent claim that the screen is closer (∼10 pc). Comparisons with higher frequency data from Parkes reveals a dramatic evolution of the pulse profile with frequency, with the outer conal emission becoming comparable in strength to that from the core and inner conal regions. As well as demonstrating high time resolution science capabilities currently possible with the MWA, our observations underscore the potential to conduct low-frequency investigations of timing-array millisecond pulsars, which may lead to increased sensitivity for the detection of nanoHertz gravitational waves via the accurate characterisation of ISM effects.

Subject headings: pulsars: general – pulsars: individual: PSR J0437−4715 – methods: observational – instrumentation: interferometers

1. INTRODUCTION

PSR J0437−4715, the closest and brightest millisecond pulsar (MSP), has been intensely studied ever since its discovery (Johnston et al. 1993). This 5.75-ms pulsar in a nearly-circular 5.74-day orbit with a low-mass (≈0.24 $M_\odot$) helium white-dwarf companion has also been detected at optical, ultraviolet, X-ray and γ-ray wavelengths (Bell et al. 1993; Kargaltsev et al. 2004; Zavlin et al. 2002; Abdo et al. 2013). It has the lowest dispersion measure (DM) of all known MSPs (2.65 pc cm^{-3}) and is located at a distance of 157 ± 3 pc (Verbiest et al. 2008; Deller et al. 2008). PSR J0437−4715 is among the most important objects for long-term high-precision timing applications and holds the record for timing precision over a decadal time span (rms timing residual=199 ns; Verbiest et al. (2008)). Consequently, it is amongst the most promising objects for pulsar timing-array experiments that aim to detect nanoHertz gravitational waves via high-precision timing. It is a prime target for the ongoing Parkes pulsar timing array (PPTA) project (Manchester et al. 2013), and later this decade, the South African MeerKAT may also be used for its timing observations.

Its proximity makes PSR J0437−4715 an important object for probing the local ISM, which is known to harbour large-scale features such as the Local Bubble (Snowden et al. 1990; Bhat et al. 1998; Cordes & Lazio 2002; Spangler 2009). Given its low DM and a location well below the Galactic plane ($b \approx -42°$), it is likely to be relatively weakly scattered, and therefore observations at the MWA frequencies ($\lesssim$300 MHz) are most ideal to characterise the ISM along its line-of-sight. However, the reported scintillation work in the pub-

lished literature is limited, and was undertaken at higher frequencies (Johnston et al. 1998; Gothoskar & Gupta 2000; Gwinn et al. 2006). Even though scattering and refraction are generally expected to be negligible at frequencies $\gtrsim 1$ GHz, where high-precision timing is currently achievable, the PPTA data show significant DM variations, $\sim 10^{-3}$ pc cm^{-3} over time spans ∼5-10 yr (Keith et al. 2013), corresponding to timing perturbations ∼1-2 μs. The dispersive delays within the MWA band will be 1-2 orders of magnitude larger than those at ∼700 MHz, the lowest frequency of PPTA observations. Low-frequency investigations are therefore particularly important in order to characterise the ISM effects toward this pulsar.

PSR J0437−4715 also has a remarkable pulse profile, comprising multiple overlapping components, with emission extending out to nearly 85% of the rotation period (Johnston et al. 1993; Manchester & Johnston 1995; Yan et al. 2011). It is also very well studied in polarisation (e.g. Manchester & Johnston 1995; Navarro et al. 1997), and is an important polarimetric calibrator for timing-array experiments and high-precision pulsar polarimetry (e.g. van Straten 2013).

While the PPTA observations are made at frequencies $\gtrsim 700$ MHz (Manchester et al. 2013), the pulsar has previously been detected at lower frequencies, down to 76 MHz (McConnell et al. 1996), and also at 151 and 330 MHz (Issur & Deshpande 2000; Vivekanand et al. 1998), though the latter two used instruments of single polarisation. Despite their limited time resolution and signal-to-noise, a strong frequency evolution of the pulse profile was hinted at by these early observations.

In this Letter, we report on the detection of pulsar J0437−4715 made with the MWA. Our observations enable the lowest-frequency scintillation studies ever made of this pulsar, and reveal the signatures of both diffractive and refractive scintillation effects. Details of our observations and data processing are summarised in § 2, discussion of results in § 3, and future prospects are outlined in § 4.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA PROCESSING

The MWA is a newly operational low-frequency array that comprises 128 tiles operating from 80 to 300 MHz (Tingay et al. 2013). While originally conceived primarily as an imaging instrument (Lonsdale et al. 2009), the array is equipped with a high time resolution data recorder – the voltage capture system (VCS), to enable time-domain science applications such as observations of pulsars and fast radio bursts. Implementation details of the VCS will be described elsewhere (Tremblay et al. in prep.). This functionality allows recording up to 24×1.28 MHz from all 128 tiles (both polarizations). These 24 coarse channels are further sub-divided into 10-kHz fine channels, resulting in a native time resolution of 100 μs. Recording of 12×1.28 MHz is currently possible to provide a net bandwidth of 15.36 MHz, with the full-bandwidth capability anticipated later in 2014. Commissioning observations to date have resulted in the detection of eight pulsars including the Crab and PSR J0437−4715.

Observations of PSR J0437−4715 were made on two separate occasions, on 25 September 2013 and 13 December 2013, and the data were recorded over a 12×1.28 MHz bandwidth centred at 192.6 MHz. For each observation, the raw voltage data from VCS, at a rate of 328 MB s^{-1} per 1.28 MHz coarse channel (i.e. 4-bit sampling), were recorded onto disks for a duration of 1 hr, resulting in an aggregate 13.5 TB of data per observation. Owing to a temporary limitation with our data recording, only 88 of the 128×10-kHz channels (in each coarse channel) were written to the disks. These data were then processed to form an incoherent addition of detected powers from all 128 tiles, and were written out in the `PSRFITS` data format (Hotan et al. 2004) after summing the two linear polarizations. The resultant spectra have a temporal resolution of 100 μs and a spectral resolution of 10 kHz.



FIG. 1.— Detection of PSR J0437−4715 with the MWA. Integrated pulse profile (top), and the pulse strength versus time and pulse phase (bottom), for the 1 hr of observation centred at 192.6 MHz over a bandwidth of 15.36 MHz ("index" on right hand side of bottom panel refers to 20-second sub-integration). Data are de-dispersed, and the residual dispersive smearing within 10-kHz channels is ≈35 μs, smaller than the 100 μs time resolution.

Fig. 1 shows the pulsar detection from our first observation. With a signal-to-noise ratio, S/N∼205, the implied mean pulsar flux is ∼2 Jy, assuming the nominal MWA sensitivity. In the second observation the pulsar was significantly weaker (S/N∼80), even though the array had ∼85% of its nominal sensitivity; the change in flux (down to ∼1 Jy) is thus primarily due to scintillation.

The use of `PSRFITS` data format provides compatibility with standard pulsar software packages, `PRESTO` (Ransom 2001) and `DSPSR` (van Straten & Bailes 2011). Initial processing and checks were performed using `PRESTO`, following which the data were processed using `DSPSR` to generate synchronously-folded pulse profiles over 20-second sub-integrations. These were subsequently averaged in frequency for the improved signal-to-noise needed to generate a dynamic spectrum, shown in Fig. 2. A res-



FIG. 2.— Dynamic spectrum of the pulse intensity from the MWA observations of PSR J0437−4715 over a time duration of 1 hr and a bandwidth of 15.36 MHz centred at 192.655 MHz. The time and frequency resolutions in this plot are 20 seconds (i.e. one sub-integration) and 0.64 MHz, respectively.

olution of 0.64 MHz was chosen to minimise instrumental artefacts that may arise from periodic flagging of the edge channels (20 each) on either end of a given coarse (1.28 MHz) channel.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our data show rapid, deep modulations of pulse intensity in time and frequency arising from diffractive scintillation, as well as prominent drifts of scintillation maxima arising from refractive effects caused by discrete, wedge-like density structures. Although scintillation observations of PSR J0437−4715 have been made in the past (Nicastro & Johnston 1995; Johnston et al. 1998; Gothoskar & Gupta 2000; Gwinn et al. 2006), this is the first time such drifts have been observed for this pulsar. Characteristic scales in time and frequency, and the drift rate in the time-frequency plane, can be derived by computing the auto-correlation function (ACF) of the dynamic spectra (Fig. 3). The secondary maxima in this plot are due to a few dominant scintles being present in our data (Fig. 2).

Following the published literature (Gupta et al. 1994; Bhat et al. 1999; Wang et al. 2005), we fit the ACF with a two-dimensional elliptical Gaussian of the form $\rho_g(\nu,\ \tau) = C_0 \exp[-(C_1\,\nu^2 + C_2\,\nu\,\tau + C_3\,\tau^2)]$, to yield characteristic scales: the decorrelation bandwidth, $\nu_d = (\ln 2/C_1)^{0.5}$, measured as the half-width at half-maximum of the correlation peak; scintillation timescale, $\tau_{\rm iss} = (1/C_3)^{0.5}$, measured as the $1/e$ width of the correlation function; and the drift rate, $dt/d\nu = -(C_2/2\,C_3)$. The presence of significant refractive bending results in an underestimation of decorrelation bandwidth as the drifting patterns are no longer aligned in time, however this can be alleviated to a certain extent (cf. Bhat et al. 1999) by the use of a "drift-corrected" decorrelation bandwidth, $\nu_{\rm d_c}$, defined as

$$\nu_{\rm d_c} = (\ln 2)^{0.5} \left(C_1 - \frac{C_2^2}{4\,C_3}\right)^{-0.5}. \qquad (1)$$

The measurement errors on these quantities are largely due to the limited number of scintles in our data, given by $\sigma_f^2 \approx (1/f)\,(\tau_{\rm iss}/T_{\rm obs})\,(\nu_d/B)$, where: f is the filling factor (nominally assumed 0.2-0.5); $T_{\rm obs}$ and B are the net observing time and bandwidth, respectively; and σ_f is the fractional error. For the data shown in Fig. 2, we estimate $\nu_{\rm d_c} \sim 1.7$ MHz, $\tau_{\rm iss} \sim 260$ s, $dt/d\nu \sim 95$ s MHz^{-1}, and $\sigma_f \sim 0.2$, with a net measurement error (σ) ∼25%, accounting for ∼ 15% error from model fits.

3.1. *Scintillation Measurements*

3.1.1. *Decorrelation bandwidth and scintillation scales*

A summary of all published scintillation measurements for PSR J0437−4715 is given in Table 1. For meaningful comparisons, we have also tabulated the scaled values of decorrelation bandwidth and scintillation timescale at the MWA's frequency, $\nu_{\rm d,mwa}$ and $\tau_{\rm iss,mwa}$, respectively. As all measurements including ours are obtained from a single or a few epochs of observation, they are subject to large uncertainties (by factors as much ∼2-3) from refractive scintillation (e.g. Wang et al. 2005; Bhat et al. 1999; Gupta et al. 1994). Our measured $\nu_{\rm d}$ implies a wavenumber spectral coefficient, $\overline{C_{\rm n}^2} \sim 9\times10^{-5}$ m$^{-20/3}$, corresponding to a scattering measure, SM≡ $\int C_{\rm n}^2(l)\,dl \sim 4.5\times10^{-6}$ kpc m$^{-20/3}$. These are the second lowest of all measurements published so far. The lowest values of $\overline{C_{\rm n}^2}$ and SM are reported toward PSR B0950+08 (Phillips & Clegg 1992) that has a slightly higher DM of 2.96 pc cm^{-3} and located at a larger distance (280 ± 25 pc) compared to PSR J0437−4715.

Table 1 indicates that our measured $\nu_{\rm d}$ is highly discrepant with the majority of the published values – by over an order of magnitude, but agrees with the larger scale of scintillation from Gwinn et al. (2006). Further, most published values are, if at all, closer to the smaller scale in their observation.[1] Gwinn et al. (2006) interpret their observations in terms of "two scales of scintillation," corresponding to two scales of structure. However, appearance of two distinct scales (in time and frequency), separated by ∼1-2 orders of magnitude, can occur when two or more scattered sub-images superpose at the observer, giving rise to periodic patterns – "interstellar fringes" – in pulsar dynamic spectra (e.g. Rickett et al. 1997; Gupta et al. 1999). Furthermore, these are expected to be transitory, with variable widths on time scales ranging from days to months (e.g. Rickett et al. 1997), and require more refraction than that predicted by a pure Kolmogorov medium, particularly for observations at frequencies well below the transition frequency ($\nu_{\rm c}$), where decorrelation bandwidth $\nu_{\rm d} \sim$ the observing frequency, $\nu_{\rm obs}$. Our measured $\nu_{\rm d}$ implies $\nu_{\rm c} \sim 1$ GHz, and incidentally, all the reported scintillation measurements of PSR J0437−4715 are from observations at frequencies $\lesssim 600$ MHz.

3.1.2. *Scintillation velocity and location of the scattering screen*

Measurements of decorrelation bandwidth ($\nu_{\rm d}$) and scintillation timescale ($\tau_{\rm iss}$) can be used to derive the scintillation velocity, $V_{\rm iss}$, i.e. the net transverse motion

[1] Our data do not show any evidence of a smaller scale, though this may be due to insufficient signal-to-noise at high (10 kHz) resolution.

between the pulsar, the observer and the medium. The measured values of $\nu_{\rm d}$ and $\tau_{\rm iss}$ (and hence $V_{\rm iss}$) critically depend on both the line-of-sight and transverse distributions of scattering material, as well as on the wavenumber spectrum of plasma irregularities. The transverse distribution is particularly important because the physical extent of screen (or medium) influences the apparent decorrelation bandwidth; for instance, a finite transverse extent of screen will give rise to a larger value for $\nu_{\rm d}$ (Cordes & Lazio 2001). Moreover, statistical inhomogeneities will lead to time-dependent modulations of $\nu_{\rm d}$ and $\tau_{\rm iss}$, as often observed (e.g. Gupta et al. 1994; Bhat et al. 1999); such effects can be more prominent at low frequencies because of inherently wider scattering cones.

Detailed treatments relating the quantities $\nu_{\rm d}$ and $\tau_{\rm iss}$ to $V_{\rm iss}$ are given by Cordes & Rickett (1998) and Deshpande & Ramachandran (1998). Their formalisms can be used to derive improved pulsar distance estimates, if the pulsar proper motion (μ) is also known. In the case of PSR J0437−4715, both the distance and proper motion are very well constrained (Verbiest et al. 2008; Deller et al. 2008), and hence the knowledge of $V_{\rm iss}$ and proper motion, together with the measurements of $\nu_{\rm d}$ and $\tau_{\rm iss}$, can be used to place constraints on location of the scattering screen. For the simplest case, where the scattering medium is approximated as a thin screen located between the pulsar and the observer, $V_{\rm iss}$ is given by (cf. Gupta et al. 1994)

$$V_{\rm iss} \;=\; A_{\rm ISS}\;\frac{\sqrt{\nu_{\rm d}\;D_{\rm psr}\;x}}{\nu_{\rm obs}\;\tau_{\rm iss}}, \qquad (2)$$

where $D_{\rm psr}$ is the pulsar distance, and $x = D_{\rm os}/D_{\rm ps}$, i.e. the ratio of the distances from the screen to the observer ($D_{\rm os}$) and from the screen to the pulsar ($D_{\rm ps}$). The constant, $A_{\rm ISS}$ relates the decorrelation time ($\tau_{\rm iss}$) to the velocity, for which Cordes & Rickett (1998) derive 2.53×10^4 for a Kolmogorov turbulence spectrum and homogeneously-distributed medium, whereas for a single asymmetrically-located thin screen, Gupta et al. (1994) derive $A_{\rm ISS} = 3.85 \times 10^4$. The scintillation velocity is essentially a vector addition of the pulsar's transverse velocity, $V_\mu = \mu\, D_{\rm psr}$, to terms such as, (i) the binary transverse motion of the pulsar, $v_{\rm bin,\perp}$, (ii) the component of the Earth's orbital velocity, $v_{\rm earth,\perp}$, and (iii) an unknown bulk motion of the screen, $v_{\rm screen}$. Including the pulsar binary motion, eq. (4) of Gupta (1995) can be re-written as

$$V_{\rm iss} \;=\; |x\,V_\mu \;+ v_{\rm bin,\perp} \;+ v_{\rm earth,\perp} \;-\; (1+x)\,v_{\rm screen}\,|. \qquad (3)$$

For PSR J0437−4715, the error on $V_{\rm iss}$ is largely due to measurement errors on $\nu_{\rm d}$ and $\tau_{\rm iss}$. Even though refractive modulations may alter these quantities, on the basis of a predicted (and observed) positive correlation of their variabilities, we expect the $V_{\rm iss}$ measurements to be relatively stable. Since $v_{\rm bin} \sim 13\,{\rm km\ s^{-1}}$, even after accounting for a maximal contribution from the Earth's motion ($30\,{\rm km\ s^{-1}}$) and a nominal $\sim 15\,{\rm km\ s^{-1}}$ for $v_{\rm screen}$ (Bondi et al. 1994), we estimate $V_{\rm iss} \sim 325\,{\rm km\ s^{-1}}$, which is on the higher end of the range of values derived from past observations.

As seen from Table 1, almost all measured values of $V_{\rm iss}$ are consistently larger than the pulsar's transverse velocity, $V_\mu \sim 100\,{\rm km\ s^{-1}}$. Based on the formalism and the underlying lever-arm argument in deriving eq. 2, this would strongly suggest a screen location that is closer to the pulsar. Specifically, our measurement yields $x = V_{\rm iss}/V_\mu \sim 3$, implying a scattering screen located at $\sim$120 pc from the observer. A similar inference was made by Gothoskar & Gupta (2000) based on their observations at 327 MHz (Table 1), suggesting $D_{\rm os} \sim 0.6\,D_{\rm psr}$. Conservatively, $x \sim$1-3 from the published data so far, which would translate to a screen location, $D_{\rm os} \sim (0.5\text{-}0.8) D_{\rm psr}$, i.e. $\sim$80-120 pc. The formalism of Deshpande & Ramachandran (1998), which considers a more realistic case of a discrete scatterer and a distributed component, predicts $D_{\rm os} \sim (0.65\text{-}0.95) D_{\rm psr}$, with an implied $\theta_{\rm H}/\theta_\tau \sim$0.2-0.6, the ratio of the source size to the scattering angle. Interestingly, this inferred screen location compares well with the estimated size of the Local Bubble in this direction, which is $\sim$100-120 pc (Bhat et al. 1998). The bubble extends out to $\sim$10-50 pc in the Galactic plane and $\sim$100-200 pc perpendicular to the plane (e.g. Snowden et al. 1990; Bhat et al. 1998; Cordes & Lazio 2002).

This is however in stark disagreement with Smirnova et al. (2006), who suggest a location $\sim$10 pc from the Sun. Their conclusion relies on a better agreement of their estimated scattering angle, $\theta_{\rm diff}$, from the observed scintillation timescale of Gwinn et al. (2006) (and some reasonable assumptions) with the predictions based on the statistical dependence of $\theta_{\rm diff}$ - DM (from Pynzar' & Shishov (1997)). The empirical relation between scattering and DM is however poorly constrained at low DMs, $\lesssim 10\,{\rm pc\ cm^{-3}}$ (cf. Bhat et al. 2004). Furthermore, their relative refractive angle ($\theta_{\rm ref} \sim 2\theta_{\rm diff}$) is inconsistent with that inferred from our observations. For a mid-way location of screen, we estimate $\theta_{\rm diff} \sim 0.7$ mas and $\theta_{\rm ref} \sim 0.3$ mas, and hence $\theta_{\rm ref} \sim \theta_{\rm diff}/2$. Furthermore, a $\sim$10 pc screen location would mean $x \sim D_{\rm os}/D_{\rm ps} \sim 0.1$, implying very low values of $V_{\rm iss}$, which is not supported by any of the observations so far.



FIG. 3.— Auto-correlation function of the dynamic spectrum of PSR J0437−4715 shown in Fig. 2, plotted for lag values out to half the total observing time and half the observing bandwidth.

3.2. *Pulse profile evolution with radio frequency*

Fig. 4 presents the integrated pulse profiles of PSR J0437−4715 at frequencies from 0.2 to 17 GHz, spanning almost two orders of magnitude in frequency. Data at 438 MHz are from Navarro et al. (1997), while those at higher frequencies are from the Parkes observatory pulsar data archive (Hobbs et al. 2011). At frequencies from 0.4 to 3 GHz where it has been intensely studied, the central bright (core) component is flanked by multiple outer (conal-like) components that are also asymmetric, with a characteristic "notch" clearly visible (in both total intensity and polarisation) in the data taken at 0.43 and 1.3 GHz (Navarro et al. 1997; Dyks et al. 2007). Modelling work has identified at least seven clear components in its profile, i.e. three distinct cones surrounding a central core (Gil & Krawczyk 1997; Qiao et al. 2002). The emission physics and beam modelling is difficult for pulsars in general, and with the observed complexity in polarisation and the pulse profile, it becomes particularly challenging for PSR J0437−4715.

The pulse profiles were nominally aligned using a simple template matching technique (Taylor 1992) as implemented within `PSRCHIVE`. The peak of the core component is fairly well aligned in this process, except at 17 GHz, where the profile is significantly different and aligned near the centre of the bridge emission.

The pulse shape is evidently complex, with the emission typically spanning ±100° in longitude around the core peak (Fig. 4). The relative longitudinal phase shifts of the conal components are ∼0.05 (20°) between the leftward peaks (at 1.4 GHz and 0.2 GHz) and ∼0.025 (10°) between the rightward peaks. These outer components evolve to become almost as strong as the core component at 0.2 GHz, indicating their relative spectra are significantly different from those of the central components. Further, a mean flux of ∼1-2 Jy indicated by our observations may imply a possible spectral break in the ∼200-400 MHz range (cf. McConnell et al. 1996).



FIG. 4.— Integrated profiles of PSR J0437−4715 at frequencies from 200 MHz to 17 GHz. Archival data from Parkes were processed to generate pulse profiles with 512 phase bins across the pulse period (i.e. a time resolution ≈ 11.23 μs), whereas the MWA profile has 64 phase bins, i.e. approximately 90 μs time resolution.

TABLE 1
SCINTILLATION MEASUREMENTS OF PSR J0437−4715

MJD	$\nu_{\rm obs}$ (MHz)	$\nu_{\rm d}$ (MHz)	$\nu_{\rm d,mwa}$ [b] (MHz)	$\tau_{\rm iss}$ (min)	$\tau_{\rm iss,mwa}$ [b] (min)	$V_{\rm iss}$ (km s^{-1})	Ref.
48825	436	3.2-4.4	0.13-0.18	4.6-10.9	1.7-4.1	114-288[a]	1
49459	436	3.6	0.15	7.8	2.9	170	2
	660	17.4	0.14	10	2.3		2
50523	327	0.2-3.0	0.03-0.38	1.8-5.0	1.0-2.6	171-321	3
50392	328	16	1.98	17	9	110-200	4
	328	0.5	0.06	1.5	0.8		4
56559	192	1.7	1	4.5	1	325 ± 90	5

NOTE. — References: (1) Nicastro & Johnston (1995); (2) Johnston et al. (1998); (3) Gothoskar & Gupta (2000); (4) Gwinn et al. (2006); (5) This work.
[a] Re-scaled for $A_{\rm ISS} = 3.85 \times 10^4$ (cf. Gupta et al. 1994), the adopted value for all other Refs.
[b] Scaled to the MWA frequency $\nu_{\rm d,mwa}$ =192 MHz using a scaling index, α=3.9 for $\nu_{\rm d} \propto (\nu_{\rm obs})^{\alpha}$ (cf. Bhat et al. 2004) and assuming $\tau_{\rm iss} \propto (\nu_{\rm obs})^{1.2}$

The complex pulse shape of PSR J0437−4715 and its strong evolution with frequency make modelling in terms of emission beams challenging. Both the coherent curvature radiation (Gil & Krawczyk 1997) and inverse compton scattering (Qiao et al. 2002) models reproduce the observed pulse shapes (at 436 and 1382 MHz) with reasonable success, with an emission geometry comprising core and multiple outer cones. However, the asymmetry seen with the outer conal components is not reproduced by either of the models. Furthermore, neither model takes into account the relative longitudinal phase shifts of the conal components, which Gangadhara & Thomas (2006) interpret in terms of retardation-aberration effects and estimate the emission altitudes to be ∼10-30% of the light cylinder radius.

The MWA detection also highlights the importance of accounting for spectral evolution of the pulsar emission in accurate determinations of DMs. At low frequencies, frequency-dependent DM changes may also arise from multi-path propagation effects, as the radiation at different frequencies samples slightly different total electron contents ($\theta_{\rm diff} \propto \nu_{\rm obs}^{-2.2}$). Any unmodelled profile evolution may thus manifest as DM variations, as demonstrated by Hassall et al. (2012) and Ahuja et al. (2007), albeit for long-period pulsars. Recent work of Pennucci et al. (2014) involving a two-dimensional template portrait is very promising in this context.

DM variations $\sim 10^{-3}$ pc cm^{-3} have been seen toward PSR J0437−4715 over ∼5-10 yr, and even larger variations ($\sim 10^{-2}$ pc cm^{-3}) toward other pulsars (Keith et al. 2013). For typical DM accuracies achievable with the PPTA data ($\sim 10^{-4}$ pc cm^{-3}), the differential delay across the full MWA frequency range is ≈ 60 μs. The large frequency lever arm possible with the MWA, e.g., by spreading out 24 × 1.28 MHz channels of VCS non-contiguously over the 220 MHz band, so as to simultaneously sample multiple spot frequencies within the 80-300 MHz range, can be exploited to enable such wide-band observations.

4. FUTURE PROSPECTS

With its sensitivity, field-of-view and frequency coverage, the MWA makes a major facility for low-frequency pulsar astronomy. Our current capabilities will soon be boosted with the implementation of the full-bandwidth recording and phased-array modes, resulting in an over an order-of-magnitude improvement in sensitivity. The combination of field-of-view and VCS functionality can be exploited for realising observations of multiple timing-array pulsars from a given pointing, and the flexibility to spread out the 30.72 MHz bandwidth anywhere within the 80-300 MHz range can be leveraged for observations at multiple frequencies simultaneously.

Acknowledgements: We thank the referee for several insightful comments that helped improve the paper. This scientific work makes use of the Murchison Radio-astronomy Observatory, operated by CSIRO. We acknowledge the Wajarri Yamatji people as the traditional owners of the Observatory site. NDRB is supported by a Curtin Research Fellowship. We thank Matthew Bailes and Bryan Gaensler for useful comments, and Dick Manchester and Lawrence Toomey for help with access to the 430 MHz archival data. Support for the MWA comes from the U.S. National Science Foundation (grants AST-0457585, PHY-0835713, CAREER-0847753, and AST-0908884), the Australian Research Council (LIEF grants LE0775621 and LE0882938), the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research (grant FA9550-0510247), and the Centre for All-sky Astrophysics (an ARC Centre of Excellence funded by grant CE110001020). Support is also provided by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, the MIT School of Science, the Raman Research Institute, the Australian National University, and the Victoria University of Wellington (via grant MED-E1799 from the New Zealand Ministry of Economic Development and an IBM Shared University Research Grant). The Australian Federal government provides additional support via CSIRO, National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy, Education Investment Fund, and the Australia India Strategic Research Fund, and Astronomy Australia Limited, under contract to Curtin University. We acknowledge the iVEC Petabyte Data Store, the Initiative in Innovative Computing and the CUDA Center for Excellence sponsored by NVIDIA at Harvard University, and the International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research , a joint venture of Curtin University and The University of Western Australia, funded by the Western Australian State government.

REFERENCES

Abdo, A. A., Ajello, M., Allafort, A., et al. 2013, ApJS, 208, 17
Ahuja, A. L., Mitra, D., & Gupta, Y. 2007, MNRAS, 377, 677
Bell, J. F., Bailes, M., & Bessell, M. S. 1993, Nature, 364, 603
Bhat, N. D. R., Gupta, Y., & Rao, A. P. 1998, ApJ, 500, 262
Bhat, N. D. R., Rao, A. P., & Gupta, Y. 1999, ApJS, 121, 483
Bhat, N. D. R., Cordes, J. M., Camilo, F., Nice, D. J., & Lorimer, D. R. 2004, ApJ, 605, 759
Bondi, M., Padrielli, L., Gregorini, L., et al. 1994, A&A, 287, 390
Cordes, J. M., & Lazio, T. J. W. 2001, ApJ, 549, 997
Cordes, J. M., & Lazio, T. J. W. 2002, arXiv:astro-ph/0207156
Cordes, J. M., & Rickett, B. J. 1998, ApJ, 507, 846
Deller, A. T., Verbiest, J. P. W., Tingay, S. J., & Bailes, M. 2008, ApJ, 685, L67
Deshpande, A. A., & Ramachandran, R. 1998, MNRAS, 300, 577
Dyks, J., Rudak, B., & Rankin, J. M. 2007, A&A, 465, 981
Gangadhara, R. T., & Thomas, R. M. C. 2006, arXiv:astro-ph/0604559
Gil, J., & Krawczyk, A. 1997, MNRAS, 285, 561
Gothoskar, P., & Gupta, Y. 2000, ApJ, 531, 345
Gupta, Y. 1995, ApJ, 451, 717
Gupta, Y., Bhat, N. D. R., & Rao, A. P. 1999, ApJ, 520, 173
Gupta, Y., Rickett, B. J., & Lyne, A. G. 1994, MNRAS, 269, 1035
Gwinn, C. R., Hirano, C., & Boldyrev, S. 2006, A&A, 453, 595
Hassall, T. E., Stappers, B. W., Hessels, J. W. T., et al. 2012, A&A, 543, A66
Hobbs, G., Miller, D., Manchester, R. N., et al. 2011, PASA, 28, 202
Hotan, A. W., van Straten, W., & Manchester, R. N. 2004, PASA, 21, 302
Johnston, S., Lorimer, D. R., Harrison, P. A., et al. 1993, Nature, 361, 613
Johnston, S., Nicastro, L., & Koribalski, B. 1998, MNRAS, 297, 108
Issur, N. H., & Deshpande, A. A. 2000, IAU Colloq. 177: Pulsar Astronomy - 2000 and Beyond, 202, 171
Kargaltsev, O., Pavlov, G. G., & Romani, R. W. 2004, ApJ, 602, 327
Keith, M. J., Coles, W., Shannon, R. M., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 429, 2161
Lonsdale, C. J., Cappallo, R. J., Morales, M. F., et al. 2009, IEEE Proceedings, 97, 1497
Manchester, R. N., & Johnston, S. 1995, ApJ, 441, L65
Manchester, R. N., Hobbs, G., Bailes, M., et al. 2013, PASA, 30, 17
McConnell, D., Ables, J. G., Bailes, M., & Erickson, W. C. 1996, MNRAS, 280, 331
Navarro, J., Manchester, R. N., Sandhu, J. S., Kulkarni, S. R., & Bailes, M. 1997, ApJ, 486, 1019
Nicastro, L., & Johnston, S. 1995, MNRAS, 273, 122
Pennucci, T. T., Demorest, P. B., & Ransom, S. M. 2014, arXiv:1402.1672
Phillips, J. A., & Clegg, A. W. 1992, Nature, 360, 137
Pynzar', A. V., & Shishov, V. I. 1997, Astronomy Reports, 41, 586
Qiao, G.-J., Wang, X.-D., Wang, H.-G., & Xu, R.-X. 2002, ChJAA, 2, 361
Rickett, B. J., Lyne, A. G., & Gupta, Y. 1997, MNRAS, 287, 739
Smirnova, T. V., Gwinn, C. R., & Shishov, V. I. 2006, A&A, 453, 601
Snowden, S. L., Cox, D. P., McCammon, D., & Sanders, W. T. 1990, ApJ, 354, 211
Spangler, S. R. 2009, Space Sci. Rev., 143, 277
Tingay, S. J., Goeke, R., Bowman, J. D., et al. 2013, PASA, 30, 7
van Straten, W. 2013, ApJS, 204, 13
van Straten, W., & Bailes, M. 2011, PASA, 28, 1
Verbiest, J. P. W., Bailes, M., van Straten, W., et al. 2008, ApJ, 679, 675
Vivekanand, M., Ables, J. G., & McConnell, D. 1998, ApJ, 501, 823
Wang, N., Manchester, R. N., Johnston, S., et al. 2005, MNRAS, 358, 270
Yan, W. M., Manchester, R. N., van Straten, W., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 414, 2087
Zavlin, V. E., Pavlov, G. G., Sanwal, D., et al. 2002, ApJ, 569, 894